

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/020,288	12/12/2001	Henry L. Griesbach III	16,280-A	8060
23556 7	590 06/14/2005		EXAM	INER
	CLARK WORLDWID	PATEL, NIHIR B		
NEENAH, W	AKE STREET I 54956		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			3743	
			DATE MAILED: 06/14/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				W			
	<i>P</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	,			
		10/020,288	GRIESBACH ET AL.	•			
Office Action Summary	E	xaminer	Art Unit	<del>-</del>			
·	1	Nihir Patel	3743				
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appea	rs on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence addres	SS			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30)  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statt  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply w Any reply received by the Office later than three months afte earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a nication. days, a reply wit utory period will a ill, by statute, cal	a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim thin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t use the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed swill be considered timely. the mailing date of this commu 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on <i>April 7<sup>th</sup></i>	2. 2005.					
:		ction is non-final.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
closed in accordance with the practice	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims				·			
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the 4 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Applicant may not request that any object							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to 11) The oath or declaration is objected to							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the copies of application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action	locuments h locuments h f the priority al Bureau (l	nave been received. nave been received in Application of documents have been receive PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Sta	ge			
;							
Attachment(s)	•	a) 🗖 1-42 0	(DTO 412)				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT</li> </ol>	O-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152	2)			

Application/Control Number: 10/020,288

Art Unit: 3743

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on April 7<sup>th</sup>, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that Wadsworth dies not provide thermally bonded laminate. The examiner would like to point that is a method process and it is not given weight on an apparatus claim. Wadsworth does provide a non-woven fabric but the reference does not say it is treated with surfactants. LaVon provides the surfactant treatment. Therefore it would have been obvious to modify Wadsworth's invention by providing the non-woven fabric of Wadsworth with the surfactant treatment described in LaVon's reference in order to absorb the fluid quicker.

Therefore claims 1-28 are still rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as applied in previous office action dating back to December 28<sup>th</sup>, 2004.

## Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/020,288

Art Unit: 3743

Page 3

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Nihir Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-4803. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am to 4:30 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful the examiner supervisor Henry Bennett can be reached at (571) 272 4791.

NP June 13<sup>th</sup>, 2005

> Henry Berlinett Supervisoe/Patent Examine